

CTP TAMU-62/92
September 1992

A Note on Representations of the w_∞ Algebra[†]

C.N. Pope* and X.J. Wang

*Center for Theoretical Physics, Texas A&M University,
College Station, TX 77843-4242, USA.*

ABSTRACT

We explicitly demonstrate that the unitary representations of the w_∞ algebra and its truncations are just the unitary representations of the Virasoro algebra.

[†] Contribution to the Proceedings of the XXI DGM Conference, Nankai University, Tianjin, China.

^{*} Supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, under grant DE-FG05-91ER40633.

The representation theory of the Virasoro algebra plays a crucial rôle in our understanding of strings and conformal field theories. An important feature of the Virasoro algebra is that it admits a central extension; it is only for positive values of the central charge c that non-trivial unitary representations can occur.

Similar questions about the existence of unitary representations arise for the various extended conformal algebras, known generically as W algebras. These are generated by higher-spin conformal currents in addition to the spin-2 current, the energy-momentum tensor, which generates the Virasoro algebra. For example, the W_N algebras have currents of spins $2, 3, \dots, N$, for each of which there is a non-trivial central extension [1,2]. These algebras are non-linear, but there is an $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, known as W_∞ , in which linearity is regained [3]. However the W_∞ algebra still retains the other feature of the W_N algebras mentioned above, namely that it has non-trivial central extensions in all the higher-spin sectors. There is a classical limit of W_∞ , known as w_∞ [4], in which all the central extensions in the higher-spin sectors are lost, leaving only the usual one in the Virasoro subalgebra. The w_∞ algebra can be truncated to what one may call w_N , by setting all generators with spins greater than N to zero. Among the extended conformal algebras, the w_N and w_∞ algebras are the simplest in structure.

One might expect that the absence of central terms for higher spins in the w_N and w_∞ algebras should result in their unitary representations being equivalent to the usual Virasoro unitary representations. Since a simple and explicit proof of this can be given, it seems worthwhile to present it here.

We shall consider the w_N algebra first. It has conformal currents of spins $2, 3, \dots, N$. Let w_m^i denote the m 'th Fourier mode of the spin $i + 2$ current, where $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 2$. They satisfy

$$[w_m^i, w_n^j] = [(j + 1)m - (i + 1)n]w_{m+n}^{i+j} + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta^{i,0}\delta^{j,0}\delta_{m+n,0} , \quad (1)$$

where on the right-hand side w_{m+n}^{i+j} is defined to be zero if $i + j > N - 2$. Note that the central extension is non-trivial only for the Virasoro sector.

The Hilbert space of physical states consists of highest-weight states and their descendants. For the w_N algebra, we can denote a highest-weight state by $|\vec{h}\rangle$, where $\vec{h} = (h_0, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{N-2})$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} w_0^i |\vec{h}\rangle &= h_i |\vec{h}\rangle , \\ w_m^i |\vec{h}\rangle &= 0, \quad m \geq 1 . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Descendant states are those created by acting on $|\vec{h}\rangle$ with a string of w_{-m}^i 's, with $m \geq 1$. Unitarity of the Hilbert space requires the matrix of inner products of any set of physical

states to be non-negative. A particular case is the matrix of inner products of states $w_{-m}^i |\vec{h}\rangle$, with $m \geq 1$ and $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-2$;

$$M_{ij} = \langle \vec{h} | w_m^i w_{-m}^j | \vec{h} \rangle . \quad (3)$$

To see what we can learn about unitarity from this matrix, we look at the w_3 and w_4 algebras first, which are the first two w_N extensions of the Virasoro algebra.

The w_3 algebra takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0} , \\ [L_m, W_n] &= (2m-n)W_{m+n} , \\ [W_m, W_n] &= 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where L_m and W_m are the spin 2 and 3 generators. The matrix M_{ij} is given by

$$(M_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2mh_0 + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m) & 3mh_1 \\ 3mh_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (5)$$

One can easily see that for this matrix to be non-negative, it must be that $h_1 = 0$. Since there is no central term for W_m , and, as we have just seen, the weight under W_0 is zero, it follows that all descendant states involving W_{-m} 's are spurious. The remaining descendant states are those of the Virasoro Verma module, and the conditions for their unitarity are standard, with no modification due to the higher-spin generators. Thus the unitary representations of w_3 are the same as the unitary Virasoro representations.

The w_4 algebra takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0} , \\ [L_m, W_n] &= (2m-n)W_{m+n} , \\ [W_m, W_n] &= 2(m-n)V_{m+n} , \\ [L_m, V_n] &= (3m-n)V_{m+n} , \\ [W_m, V_n] &= 0 = [V_m, V_n] , \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where L_m , W_m and V_m are the spin 2, 3 and 4 generators. The corresponding matrix M_{ij} is

$$(M_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2mh_0 + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m) & 3mh_1 & 4mh_2 \\ 3mh_1 & 4mh_2 & 0 \\ 4mh_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (7)$$

For the outer 2×2 submatrix to be non-negative, we must have $h_2 = 0$. Now consider the upper diagonal 2×2 block. Having found that $h_2 = 0$, the requirement of non-negativity implies that $h_1 = 0$. So we have

$$h_1 = h_2 = 0 . \quad (9)$$

Thus unitary w_4 representations are the same as unitary Virasoro representations.

The pattern that we have seen in the two examples above continues for all the finite- N w_N algebras. The matrix M of inner products (3) for the w_N algebra is

$$(M_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2mh_0 + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m) & 3mh_1 & 4mh_2 & \cdots & Nm h_{N-2} \\ 3mh_1 & 4mh_2 & 5mh_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ 4mh_2 & 5mh_3 & 6mh_4 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Nm h_{N-2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Following the same method as we used above, we get, for the matrix to be non-negative, that

$$h_1 = h_2 = \cdots = h_{N-3} = h_{N-2} = 0, \quad (11)$$

showing that the unitary representations of w_N are the same as those of the Virasoro algebra.

The w_∞ algebra is somewhat different from the finite- N w_N algebras, since it has infinitely-many conformal currents. The algebra takes the same form as (1);

$$[w_m^i, w_n^j] = [(j+1)m - (i+1)n]w_{m+n}^{i+j} + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta^{i,0}\delta^{j,0}\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (12)$$

except that now we have $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. A highest-weight state $|\vec{h}\rangle$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} w_0^i |\vec{h}\rangle &= h_i |\vec{h}\rangle, \\ w_m^i |\vec{h}\rangle &= 0, \quad m \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The M matrix is now infinite dimensional, given by

$$(M_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2mh_0 + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m) & 3mh_1 & 4mh_2 & \cdots \\ 3mh_1 & 4mh_2 & 5mh_3 & \cdots \\ 4mh_2 & 5mh_3 & 6mh_4 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

The method we used for the w_N algebras, starting by considering the outer 2×2 submatrix, is not appropriate in this infinite-dimensional case. Instead, we first consider another subspace of physical states, consisting of

$$|\alpha\rangle = w_{-2m}^{2i} |\vec{h}\rangle, \quad |\beta\rangle = (w_{-m}^i)^2 |\vec{h}\rangle, \quad i \geq 1, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (15)$$

The inner products in this two-dimensional subspace are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle &= \langle \vec{h} | w_{2m}^{2i} w_{-2m}^{2i} | \vec{h} \rangle = 4m(2i+1)h_{4i}, \\ \langle \beta | \beta \rangle &= \langle \vec{h} | (w_m^i)^2 (w_{-m}^i)^2 | \vec{h} \rangle = 8m^2(i+1)^2 h_{2i}^2 + 4m^3(i+1)(2i+1)^2 h_{4i}, \\ \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle &= \langle \vec{h} | (w_m^i)^2 w_{-2m}^{2i} | \vec{h} \rangle = 2m^2(4i+3)(2i+1)h_{4i}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where we have used the conditions (13) for highest-weight states. The matrix of inner products in this subspace is

$$(N_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle & \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle \\ \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle & \langle \beta | \beta \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

The unitarity of this space requires $\text{Det}(N_{ij}) \geq 0$, *i.e.*

$$\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \beta \rangle - \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle \geq 0. \quad (18)$$

Substituting (16), we find

$$\text{Det}(N_{ij}) = 32m^3(2i+1)(i+1)^2 h_{4i} h_{2i}^2 - 4m^4(2i+1)^2(8i^2+12i+5)h_{4i}^2. \quad (19)$$

Since $i > 0$, it follows that for sufficiently large m , $\text{Det}(N_{ij})$ is negative, unless $h_{4i} = 0$ when $i \geq 1$. In the above calculations, we see that it is crucial that there are no central extensions in the $i \geq 1$ sectors.

Having established that $h_{4i} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, we may now apply the previous argument that we used for the w_N algebras, where we choose 2×2 submatrices of the inner products (M_{ij}) given in (14), with h_{4i} as a diagonal entry. This immediately shows that all the h_i 's except h_0 are zero. For example, knowing $h_4 = 0$, the submatrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4mh_2 & 5mh_3 \\ 5mh_3 & 6mh_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

of (14) tells us that $h_3 = 0$. The submatrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2mh_0 + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m) & 4mh_2 \\ 4mh_2 & 6mh_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

then tells us that $h_2 = 0$, and so on. Thus we arrive at the conclusion that unitary representations of the w_∞ algebra coincide with Virasoro unitary representations.

There are other interesting examples in the w algebra family, namely the w_{1+N} algebras (including the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit). They have the spin-1 current w^{-1} besides all the currents in the w_N algebra. They take the same form as (1), but with i and j now allowed to range from -1 to $N-2$. They allow a central extension also in the spin-1 sector, with a central charge $\tilde{c}$ that is independent of the spin-2 central charge c :

$$[w_m^{-1}, w_n^{-1}] = \tilde{c}m\delta_{m+n,0}. \quad (22)$$

Denoting by h_{-1} the w_0^{-1} weight of a highest-weight state, the M matrix (3) is now given by

$$(M_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}m & mh_{-1} & 2mh_0 & \cdots \\ mh_{-1} & 2mh_0 + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m) & 3mh_1 & \cdots \\ 2mh_0 & 3mh_1 & 4mh_2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

The arguments that we used previously for w_N and w_∞ can be applied *mutatis mutandis* to w_{1+N} and $w_{1+\infty}$. One immediately concludes that here too, $0 = h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = \dots$. The new feature here is that one must also demand the non-negativity of 2×2 submatrices in (23) that have $\tilde{c}m$ as the upper-left entry. By taking the case where the lower-right entry is $4mh_2$, one can see that h_0 must be zero. Then, taking the upper-left 2×2 submatrix in (23), with $m = 1$, one sees that h_{-1} must also vanish. Thus we have

$$0 = h_{-1} = h_0 = h_1 = h_2 = \dots . \quad (24)$$

So for any w_{1+N} algebra, including $w_{1+\infty}$, its unitary representations are totally trivial. The only state in its Hilbert space is the $SL(2, R)$ -invariant vacuum $|0\rangle$.

References

- [1] A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Teo. Mat. Fiz.* **65** (1985) 347.
- [2] V.A. Fateev and S. Lukyanov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A3** (1988) 507.
- [3] C.N. Pope, L.J. Romans and X. Shen, *Phys. Lett.* **236B** (1990) 173;
Nucl. Phys. **B339** (1990) 191.
- [4] I. Bakas, *Phys. Lett.* **228B** (1989) 57.